
To: Pemberton, Scott[Pemberton.Scott@epa.gov]

From: Mehta, Sandeep

Sent: Wed 4/29/2015 2:32:02 PM

Subject: RE: DICO draft

Emailing: Response to EME PER-23.pdf



Scott:

I had sent the attached email yesterday which had the letter from 2010 attached. Let me know if you need something else.

Regards,

Sandeep Mehta, P.E.

mehta.sandeep@epa.gov

Phone: 913-551-7763



From: Pemberton, Scott

Sent: Monday, April 27, 2015 2:42 PM

To: Mehta, Sandeep Subject: RE: DICO draft

Sandeep:

I have been reviewing our letters and Dico's email responses and I have a question we should address in our response. Dico stated in its 2/11/15 email that its technical interpretations within the report were based on solid scientific data supported by laboratory testing and professional expert reviews. I assume this statement goes to Dico's statement in the report that the system (groundwater pump and treat) can be eliminated.

Do you have any idea what data Dico/its contractor is using to reach that conclusion? Was this explained in the report? I would like to respond with a more technical response as to why EPA believes the system needs to continue to operate.

Let me know if you want to sit down and discuss.

From: Mehta, Sandeep

Sent: Monday, April 27, 2015 8:51 AM

To: Pemberton, Scott

Cc: Dalal, Pradip; Hoefer, David

Subject: DICO draft

Scott:

I had sent the email with the draft and other supporting information/documents for your review on 2/27/2015. I had also sent a request reminder on 3/16/2015.

I am attaching both emails to you, if you need. I will patiently wait to hear back.

Regards, Sandeep